

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 00782.US1 5398 10/649,300 08/27/2003 Paramita Bandyopadhyay 25533 7590 **EXAMINER** 12/15/2004 PHARMACIA & UPJOHN PICKETT, JOHN G **301 HENRIETTA ST** PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 0228-32-LAW KALAMAZOO, MI 49007 3728

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					N/
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	À
,		10/649,30	00	BANDYOPADHYAY ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examine	,	Art Unit	
		Gregory I	Pickett	3728	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with t	he correspondence addr	ress
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eventual control of the state of the	ent, however, may a reply utory minimum of thirty (30 ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS lication to become ABAND	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this component (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			·		
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>27 August 200</i> 3	).		
·	•	b)⊠ This action is n			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co			
Applicati	on Papers				•
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	•		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b)	objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any object		-		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	•	= : :		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim factorism. All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified c	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documen nal Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Appl ents have been rec e 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National S	itage
Attachmen					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date	
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/20/04</u> .			mal Patent Application (PTO-	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/649,300

Art Unit: 3728

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81. No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 13, 18, 23, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims recite an improper Markush group. LDPE, HDPE, and PP should be listed in the alternative.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong et al (US 2002/0058049 A1) in view of Fehn (US 6,194,043) and Groeger (WO 01/51222 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Wong et al discloses a medicinal preparation in a plastic container (see for example, the abstract). Wong et al lacks, or does not expressly disclose, a fluorinated barrier layer.

Fehn discloses that it was known in the art at the time the invention was made to place a fluorinated barrier layer in a container to inhibit cross contamination. Groeger discloses that it was known in the art at the time the invention was made to use fluorinated polymer for the storage of medicinal components. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the container of Wong et al from a fluorinated polymer, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

As to claims 2-4, 7 and 8, Wong discloses the claimed medicinal preparation.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,300

Art Unit: 3728

As to claims 5 and 6, Fehn discloses the claimed materials in a small volume bottle.

Regarding claims 9-16, the package of Wong-Fehn-Groeger discloses the claimed methods by presentation

While claims 17-33 are directed towards particular methods for increasing stability, preventing loss of an ingredient, or reducing transfer of an impurity, the only method steps presented are the provisions of the structures of claims 1-8. As such, the method of Wong-Fehn-Groeger, as applied to claims 9-16, discloses the claimed invention.

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory Pickett whose telephone number is 571-272-4560. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,300

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

2/80

Greg Pickett Examiner

3 December 2004

Mickey Yu\_

Page 5

Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700